	Comments
The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives.	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
2. Classes were generally well-organised	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
Adequate help was available when needed	
Strongly Disagree strongly	*
4. The pace of the course was	
Far too slow Far too fast	
5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	
Strongly Disagree strongly	* s
The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or	
class leader	~
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	
Excellent Extremely poor	
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	
Strongly Disagree strongly	

1. The methods of instruction were consistent with	
the course objectives.	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
2. Classes were generally well-organised	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
3. Adequate help was available when needed	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
4. The pace of the course was	
Far too slow Far too fast	e egg
5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader	
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	4
Excellent Extremely poor	
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	
Strongly Disagree strongly	

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and a Your comments are anonymous.	
	Comments
1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives.	
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	
Classes were generally well-organised	I liked that I did not have to take
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	notes and all materials including drawings from the black board will be provided.
Adequate help was available when needed	I liked the suggestions on the
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	slides and also the lines for further imformation. They are very useful for the luture. The pace of the slides/lecture
4. The pace of the course was	.The pace of the slides / lecture
Far too slow Far too fast	Some packs like g details on how to use anotations could have
5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	been shortened and some other park could have been more detailed I deep like, how to organize literature"
Strongly Disagree strongly	Therefore The class was a too short to manage all topics.
6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader	
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	
Excellent Extremely poor	
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	I recomment the class
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	for a beginners of a PhD. For advanced PhD I would recomment some parts of
	recomment some pasts of

Strongly

agree

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Strongly Disagree agree strongly 2. Classes were generally well-organised Disagree Strongly agree strongly 3. Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly strongly agree 4. The pace of the course was Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Strongly Disagree agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Strongly Disagree agree strongly Good and Excellent workshop, liked the presentationstyle. 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Itint: I'm a friend of pre-printed slides for the atlanders.
(aux by handwritten noting) can remember better and Moules in nyear Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Extremely Excellent poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course

> Disagree strongly

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Strongly Disagree strongly agree dock students as Stand 2. Classes were generally well-organised more, it would be better in a very long between Disagree Strongly strongly agree 3. Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly agree strongly 4. The pace of the course was Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Strongly Disagree agree strengly Mura group activists should be how organized 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly agree strongly 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Excellent Extremely poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Strongly Disagree agree strongly

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Disagree Strongly agree strongly 2. Classes were generally well-organised Es war gut, dass stark auf die 145 Themenwinsche eingegangen wurde Disagree Strongly agree strongly Adequate help was available when needed Strongly Disagree strongly agree Die Vorstellung der einzelnen Zeitplane 4. The pace of the course was war etwas lang, bew. redundant Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the Der Folus halke etwas mehr aut Paper student's specific needs and interests Schreiben liegen können, da viele noch night sehr weit mit der Dissertation sind Strongly Disagree agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly strongly agree 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Excellent Extremely poor Es waren eine Menge interessante Tipps und Strategien dabei, die gut und interaktiv vermidtelt wurden. 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Disagree Strongly strongly agree

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Disagree Strongly strongly agree Classes were generally well-organised Well organized in general, but a little too much time spend with "timetable" cection Disagree Strongly agree strongly Adequate help was available when needed Strongly Disagree strongly agree 4. The pace of the course was Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Strongly Disagree agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly strongly agree 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Extremely Excellent poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Anyone who is going to write a thesis or Scientific paper should receive this information Disagree Strongly strongly agree

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

Thank you for your feedback.

In my opinion, it would be nice to analyze a phole paper (or a couple of them) in order to know what things to do and other things to avoid. A comparison between a good-structured and, good-written paper and an improvable one would be very interesting.

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Disagree Strongly strongly agree 2. Classes were generally well-organised Disagree Strongly strongly agree 3. Adequate help was available when needed Discussions in between really helpful. Disagree Strongly Were agree strongly 4. The pace of the course was Too slow on some topics that I already linear about (e.g. punchation) Far too Far too fast slow Topics were chosen by 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Students Disagree Strongly agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly agree strongly 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Extremely Excellent poor Some tips were too general, but it is hard to be more precise if the research background is different 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Excellent Extremely poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Strongly Disagree agree strongly

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

		how to be more productive
be vice	to put ever	more focus on that.
=		
	9	
	30 M	holless

	Comments
The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives.	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
2. Classes were generally well-organised	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
Adequate help was available when needed	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
4. The pace of the course was	
Far too slow Far too fast	
5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	2
Strongly Disagree strongly	
6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader	g < 8
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	
Excellent Extremely poor	. *
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	
Strongly Disagree strongly	

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

It u	would	on ic	lea to	dis	more so vo	ebout	most	success es. At	sfull 1	Papers	e E
with	high	lighta	4 50	ne	exemple	uy :	sentene	ics. At	the	end,	
ī	1Jou	ld lik	e to	app	preciate	a	lot 7	to hold	this	interes	ting
Lack	cshop.										0
COLL	Conop.										
							a es				
											II.
				·							
							*				T 6

	Comments
The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives.	
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	
2. Classes were generally well-organised	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
3. Adequate help was available when needed	
Strongly Disagree agree strongly	
4. The pace of the course was	
Far too slow Far too fast	
5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader	
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	
Excellent Extremely poor	
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

The first day was long and I prefer it the Ircharz does not last more them I hours. The course could be splitted to 3 days instead of 2. I would like to thank you for what you brack us.
I MINIO THE TO EXCENT DECE 12
Way regards


Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Strongly Disagree strongly agree Classes were generally well-organised Disagree Strongly strongly agree 3. Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly agree strongly 4. The pace of the course was Far too Far too fast slow Textbeispiele zu "schlediten/homplizieten"
Såtzen nach Möglichtrail aus dam
velevanten Wissenschaftsbesich nehmen?
> hier also "Geodisie/Roboth" 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Disagree Strongly agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly agree strongly 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Extremely Excellent poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Excellent Extremely poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Strongly Disagree strongly agree

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

				_
<i>→</i>	Pal	slenssion/3	la hion	onen wie/wo/ob man sein an externe stelle gibt und horrigien lässt?
		8		
		a		
				in the second second

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Strongly Disagree agree strongly 2. Classes were generally well-organised Disagree Strongly agree strongly Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly strongly agree 4. The pace of the course was Personally. I prefer more breaks.
Like 10 minutes for every 50 minutes. Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Disagree Strongly strongly agree 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Strongly Disagree agree strongly 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Extremely Excellent poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Strongly Disagree strongly agree

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.



Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with There was alet on pre-withing, but the course objectives. that was also helpful Disagree Strongly strongly agree Classes were generally well-organised In the introduction you could ontine Disagree a leit mor Alex aspects on scientific Strongly strongly agree 3. Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly agree strongly 4. The pace of the course was Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Disagree Strongly strongly agree 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly strongly agree 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Extremely Excellent poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Make alah more on tools/software (the Citari part was good!) Excellent Extremely poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Disagree Strongly strongly agree

	Comments
 The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. 	
Strongly Disagree strongly	
Classes were generally well-organised	=
Strongly Disagree strongly	8 y
3. Adequate help was available when needed	Sametimes the question was not understood
Strongly Disagree strongly	contexty.
4. The pace of the course was	4
Far too slow Far too fast	
It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests	=
Strongly Disagree strongly	
The instructor was concerned about student learning and development	H M
Strongly Disagree strongly	
7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader	Sometimes he repeated his sentence. Eg.
	that he does not say , do not use passine speech".
Excellent Extremely poor	
8. The practical tips and suggestions included were	Especially the second day was good . I am
Excellent Extremely poor	from the first part of the first day (until the literature)
9. Overall, I would recommend the course	I would recommend it to someone who is
Strongly Disagree strongly	new or wesdaran already at writing. For some one in the middle (e.g. after 1,5 years, it might not help emediately.

Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other feedback and information you think would be useful.

the an engeneering back	a engeneering background and are in the same to helpful if the presentor speaks with to check of the teached things who can write (e.g. the Hepke), if the fit to formed. Sometimes therewas I was a bit confused or if I have to check it with my
superviser.	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	Ta Taba
4	
	Indiana

Please tick the box that is closest to your views, and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous. Comments 1. The methods of instruction were consistent with the course objectives. Disagree Strongly strongly agree 2. Classes were generally well-organised Disagree Strongly strongly agree 3. Adequate help was available when needed Disagree Strongly agree strongly 4. The pace of the course was maybe the amount of examples can be shortered at some places Far too Far too fast slow 5. It was possible to work on topics relevant to the student's specific needs and interests Disagree Strongly agree strongly 6. The instructor was concerned about student learning and development Disagree Strongly agree strongly 7. The instructor was effective as lecturer and/or class leader Excellent Extremely poor 8. The practical tips and suggestions included were Excellent Extremely poor 9. Overall, I would recommend the course Disagree Strongly strongly agree