


### Auswertung

Nr.	Fragen	Mittelwert zu Frage	Mittelwert zu Block	Mittelwert gesamt
<b>Your feedback is important to us!</b>			<b>2,17</b>	
1.1	The workshop was structured clearly and coherently.	1,78		
1.2	The workshop's goals were defined in advance.	2,44		
1.3	The contents and goals met my expectations.	3,00		
1.4	My previous knowledge was sufficient to follow the course.	2,00		
1.5	The participants were free to share their experiences and problems.	1,22		
1.6	I was able to make a constructive contribution to discussions and group work.	1,78		
1.7	I felt free to ask questions and to make comments.	1,22		
1.8	I got all the explanations I needed.	2,11		
1.9	The interdisciplinary exchange was very fruitful.	2,78		
1.10	I'm satisfied with the course materials.			
1.11	I have learned a lot in this course.	2,78		
<b>The lecturer...</b>			<b>2,06</b>	
2.1	...has timed the course well.	2,11		
2.2	...has structured the course well.	2,22		
2.3	...made the course interesting and diversified (change of activities, classroom formats, ...).	2,33		
2.4	...always seemed to be well prepared.	2,22		
2.5	...responded to the participants' expectations and suggestions.	2,00		
2.6	...appeared to be motivated and committed.	1,44		
2.7	...guided the individual and group work periods well.	2,25		
2.8	...furthered my interest in the topic.	2,00		
2.9	...gave a clear presentation of the course content.	2,11		
2.10	...used practical examples to illustrate the workshop topics.	2,11		
2.11	...encouraged the students to deal intensively with the course content.	1,89		
<b>Feedback on the Online-Format</b>			<b>2,27</b>	
3.1	The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content.	2,11		
3.2	The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was beneficial to my learning success.	2,33		
3.3	I would have liked to work more work in small groups.	2,89		
3.4	The division of the seminar contents over several days was helpful.	1,75		
3.5	A smaller number of participants would have had a positive effect on my learning success.	3,78		
3.6	The topic of the workshop was well suited for working/understanding in a digital space.	1,56		
3.7	For the future, I would like to see further expansion of digital/online teaching/learning formats.	1,78		
3.8	During the workshop, there were hardly any technical problems or disruptions.	2,00		
3.9	For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether events offered are digital or presence-based.	2,22		
<b>Overall impression</b>			<b>2,15</b>	
4.1	The course was well organized.	1,89		
4.2	Overall, I was satisfied with the course.	2,44		
4.3	I would recommend the course.	2,11		
				<b>2,16</b>

Bewertung pro Teilnehmer	
Teilnehmer	Mittelwert
1	2,61
2	2,29
3	1,52
4	2,00
5	1,96
6	3,43
7	2,32
8	1,59
9	1,64

### Auswertung nach Themenblöcken



<b>Further remarks... For additional online formats, I would like...</b>
There was a lot of repetitions in some parts of the course, especially exercises. I hope it is avoided in the future. The number of exercises was too much, and need more focusing to achieve them, which limited the benefiting of this course.
The lecturer was already quite prepared for the online format.
Not to use Zoom. Zoom as a platform has had a lot of negative press in recent months, and I was really not comfortable with using it. While WebEx is not perfect either, it is the platform the university has chosen to use (and no huge scandals associated with it). I would rather have used WebEx.
Remarks: I think the course could be improved at some point, including some more examples (do's and don'ts) even if there are persons from different fields. There are some topics that everybody understands. To call it roadmap I expected not to only talk about the writing process but also how to coordinate work and writing. Online Formats: I would prefer to use one tool for all courses e.g. webex teams.
Ich persönlich würde den Workshop meinen Kollegen nicht weiterempfehlen. Die Struktur und die Organisation für die Doktoranden, die sich noch am Anfang ihrer Recherche befinden, eher ungeeignet. Vielmehr Sinn würde ergeben, diesen Workshop in separate Workshops aufzuteilen: 1. Techniques and tools der Wissensorganisation 2. Artikel strukturieren und schreiben. Alles innerhalb zwei Tage zu schaffen war sehr unrealistisch. Außerdem es gab keine Gruppenarbeit, und der interdisziplinäre Austausch fehlte...
With the online format it is unable to get in touch with the other participants. Nevertheless it was a very helpful course with an enjoyable atmosphere
Top speaker!

<b>Which topics in the scope of the qualification program do you consider to be important / interesting?</b>
Focusing more on the techniques of scientific writing with included writing labs.
The lecturer's holistic approach to developing a PhD is very relevant to the qualification program.
The concept of the modality change that was discussed in this course really spoke to me. I had not considered that transferring content and ideas to a different medium by hand, which seems inefficient at first, would be so beneficial for gaining perspective and identifying logical gaps in the material.
Weg zur Doktorarbeit: zwischen Lehre, Projektarbeit und Dissertation
Strukturierung von Projektarbeit, Kommunikation mit Projektbeteiligten, Zeitmanagement
Quellenverwaltung, Datenverwaltung für die Dissertation
Präsentieren (deutsch, englisch)
Kurse über Erstellung bis Review von Publikationen
How can one prepare properly the next paper or dissertation
How can one organize his/her research activities
How can tools contribute to the writing process

Roadmap towards your PhD: Techniques, tools and troubleshootings for scientific writing, ZOOM; GRADUS  
Prof. Tobias Schmohl  
01.-02.10.2020