

# Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


„Good Scientific Practice“\_17.-18.06.2021\_Prof. Tobias Schmohl ()  
Erfasste Fragebögen = 3



## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

### Legende


Fragestext




n=Anzahl  
mw=Mittelwert  
md=Median  
s=Std.-Abw.  
E.=Enthaltung

### 1. Your feedback is important to us!


1.1) The workshop was structured clearly and coherently.




1.2) The workshop's goals were defined in advance.




1.3) The contents and goals met my expectations.




1.4) My previous knowledge was sufficient to follow the course.




1.5) The participants were free to share their experiences and problems.




1.6) I was able to make a constructive contribution to discussions and group work.




1.7) I felt free to ask questions and to make comments.





1.8) I got all the explanations I needed.














1.9) The interdisciplinary exchange was very fruitful.







2. The lecturer...



### 3. Feedback on the Online-Format



### 4. Overall impression



# Profillinie


Teilbereich: GRADUS - Gaduierten-Akademie

Name der/des Lehrenden: Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: „Good Scientific Practice“\_17.-18.06.2021\_Prof. Tobias Schmohl  
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## 1. Your feedback is important to us!



## 2. The lecturer...



### 3. Feedback on the Online-Format

3.1) The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
3.2) The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was beneficial to my learning success.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
3.3) The topic of the workshop was well suited for working/ understanding in a digital space.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
3.4) For the future, I would like to see further expansion of digital/online teaching/learning formats.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=2	mw=2,5	md=2,5	s=0,7
3.5) During the workshop, there were hardly any technical problems or disruptions.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=2,7	md=3,0	s=0,6
3.6) For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether events offered are digital or presence-based.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=2	mw=3,5	md=3,5	s=0,7

### 4. Overall impression

4.1) The course was well organized.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
4.2) Overall, I was satisfied with the course.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6
4.3) I would recommend the course.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=3	mw=1,3	md=1,0	s=0,6

## Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

---

5. Further remarks... For additional online formats, I would like...

5.1)

- the course was well prepared for the digital platform.  
the discussions were very open and respectful.

6. Which topics in the scope of the qualification program do you consider to be important/ interesting?

6.1)

- The ethics of research, authorship, and plagiarism.
- educating on what scientific misconduct is and how they might arise.