

Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya

"Good Scientific Practice & Research Integrity"_21.&23.04.2021_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl () Erfasste Fragebögen = 4



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen





3. Feedback on the Online-Format The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content. n=4 mw=1,8 md=1,5 s=1 strongly agree strongly disagree 50% 25% 25% 0% 0% 0% The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was n=4 mw=1,8 md=1,5 s=1 strongly agree strongly disagree beneficial to my learning success. 50% 0% 0% 50% n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 The topic of the workshop was well suited for strongly agree strongly disagree working/ understanding in a digital space. s=0,6 25% 75% 0% 0% 0% 0% For the future, I would like to see further n=4 strongly agree strongly disagree mw=1,8 md=2 s=0,5 expansion of digital/online teaching/learning 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,6 During the workshop, there were hardly any strongly agree strongly disagree technical problems or disruptions. 50% 0% For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether n=4 mw=2,3 md=2 s=1,3 strongly agree strongly disagree events offered are digital or presence-based. 4. Overall impression 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 4.1) The course was well organized. n=4 mw=1,5 md=1,5 s=0,6 strongly agree strongly disagree 6 25% 25% 0% 0% 50% ^{4.2)} Overall, I was satisfied with the course. n=4 mw=1,8 md=1,5 s=1 strongly agree strongly disagree 25% n=4 mw=2 md=1,5 s=1,4 I would recommend the course. strongly agree strongly disagree

Profillinie


Teilbereich: GRADUS - Gaduierten-Akademie

Name der/des Lehrenden: Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: "Good Scientific Practice & Research Integrity"_21.&23.04.2021_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Your feedback is important to us!




2. The lecturer...




3. Feedback on the Online-Format

- 3.1) The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content.
- 3.2) The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was beneficial to my learning success.
- 3.3) The topic of the workshop was well suited for working/ understanding in a digital space.
- 3.4) For the future, I would like to see further expansion of digital/online teaching/learning formats.
- 3.5) During the workshop, there were hardly any technical problems or disruptions.
- (3.6) For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether events offered are digital or presence-based.



4. Overall impression

- 4.1) The course was well organized.
- ^{4.2)} Overall, I was satisfied with the course.
- 4.3) I would recommend the course.



Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Further remarks... For additional online formats, I would like...

- I would like to get some reading material before the commencement of the workshop so that I can be better prepared for group discussions.
- Less reading sessions, this was not productive. I do not need an online course to read an document for 1h
- 6. Which topics in the scope of the qualification program do you consider to be important/ interesting?

- 1) Different aspects of scientific practice (ethics, data collection, publication, etc) 2) Prevention of scientific misconduct
- I learned most during the section "authorship". It was very helpful for me.