

Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


„Good Scientific Practice & Research Integrity“_28.-29.03.2022_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl ()

Erfasste Fragebögen = 7

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




1. Your feedback is important to us!

| | |
|--|--|
| 1.1) The workshop was structured clearly and coherently. | |
| 1.2) The workshop's goals were defined in advance. | |
| 1.3) The contents and goals met my expectations. | |
| 1.4) My previous knowledge was sufficient to follow the course. | |
| 1.5) The participants were free to share their experiences and problems. | |
| 1.6) I was able to make a constructive contribution to discussions and group work. | |
| 1.7) I felt free to ask questions and to make comments. | |
| 1.8) I got all the explanations I needed. | |
| 1.9) The interdisciplinary exchange was very fruitful. | |

1.10) I'm satisfied with the course materials.




1.11) I have learned a lot in this course.




2. The lecturer...


2.1) ...has timed the course well.




2.2) ...has structured the course well.




2.3) ...made the course interesting and diversified (change of activities, classroom formats, ...).




2.4) ...always seemed to be well prepared.




2.5) ...responded to the participants' expectations and suggestions.




2.6) ...appeared to be motivated and committed.




2.7) ...guided the individual and group work periods well.




2.8) ...furthered my interest in the topic.




2.9) ...gave a clear presentation of the course content.




2.10) ...used practical examples to illustrate the workshop topics.




2.11) ...encouraged the students to deal intensively with the Course content.



3. Feedback on the Online-Format



4. Overall impression



Profillinie


Teilbereich: GRADUS - Gaduierten-Akademie

Name der/des Lehrenden: Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: „Good Scientific Practice & Research Integrity“_28.-29.03.2022_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert







1. Your feedback is important to us!






2. The lecturer...



3. Feedback on the Online-Format

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|-------------------|-----|--------|--------|-------|
| 3.1) The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=2,0 | md=1,0 | s=1,9 |
| 3.2) The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was beneficial to my learning success. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=2,0 | md=2,0 | s=0,8 |
| 3.3) The topic of the workshop was well suited for working/ understanding in a digital space. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 3.4) For the future, I would like to see further expansion of digital/online teaching/learning formats. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,4 | md=1,0 | s=0,8 |
| 3.5) During the workshop, there were hardly any technical problems or disruptions. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=2,1 | md=2,0 | s=1,5 |
| 3.6) For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether events offered are digital or presence-based. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |

4. Overall impression

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|-------------------|-----|--------|--------|-------|
| 4.1) The course was well organized. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,8 |
| 4.2) Overall, I was satisfied with the course. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,6 | md=1,0 | s=1,5 |
| 4.3) I would recommend the course. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=7 | mw=1,6 | md=1,0 | s=1,5 |

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Further remarks... For additional online formats, I would like...

5.1)

- Not the presenters fault, but the learning goals of the workshop could have been achieved in one afternoon and not two full days.
- Tobias has a great personality. He has a full grasp of his knowledge.
I highly recommend this course and I would love to take more courses from him.

6. Which topics in the scope of the qualification program do you consider to be important/ interesting?

6.1)

- Dealing with hardships, e.g. in terms of supervision.
- For me, QZP guidelines for candidates and supervisors were the most important topics.
But the course was well organized and every topic was important and relevant to the course.
- I would like a course on copyright and licensing of materials that are created during a Ph.D., e.g.:

Can I opensource my code without asking the university?

Which license should / can I choose? MIT? GPL?

What material can I use under what conditions after my Ph.D., e.g. when starting / joining a company?