

Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


„Roadmap towards your PhD: Techniques, tools and troubleshooting“_02.-03.09.2021_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl ()
Erfasste Fragebögen = 7



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




1. Your feedback is important to us!

1.1) The workshop was structured clearly and coherently.	strongly agree	57,1%	28,6%	14,3%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,8
1.2) The workshop's goals were defined in advance.	strongly agree	14,3%	71,4%	0%	0%	14,3%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=2,3 md=2 s=1,3
1.3) The contents and goals met my expectations.	strongly agree	14,3%	14,3%	57,1%	0%	0%	14,3%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=3 md=3 s=1,5
1.4) My previous knowledge was sufficient to follow the course.	strongly agree	71,4%	28,6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1,3 md=1 s=0,5
1.5) The participants were free to share their experiences and problems.	strongly agree	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0
1.6) I was able to make a constructive contribution to discussions and group work.	strongly agree	57,1%	42,9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,5
1.7) I felt free to ask questions and to make comments.	strongly agree	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0
1.8) I got all the explanations I needed.	strongly agree	42,9%	28,6%	14,3%	0%	0%	14,3%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=2,3 md=2 s=1,8
1.9) The interdisciplinary exchange was very fruitful.	strongly agree	57,1%	28,6%	14,3%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=7 mw=1,6 md=1 s=0,8

1.10) I'm satisfied with the course materials.




1.11) I have learned a lot in this course.




2. The lecturer...


2.1) ...has timed the course well.




2.2) ...has structured the course well.




2.3) ...made the course interesting and diversified (change of activities, classroom formats, ...).




2.4) ...always seemed to be well prepared.




2.5) ...responded to the participants' expectations and suggestions.




2.6) ...appeared to be motivated and committed.




2.7) ...guided the individual and group work periods well.




2.8) ...furthered my interest in the topic.




2.9) ...gave a clear presentation of the course content.




2.10) ...used practical examples to illustrate the workshop topics.




2.11) ...encouraged the students to deal intensively with the Course content.



3. Feedback on the Online-Format



4. Overall impression



Profillinie


Teilbereich: GRADUS - Gaduierten-Akademie

Name der/des Lehrenden: Tatsiana Radziyeuskaya


Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: „Roadmap towards your PhD: Techniques, tools and troubleshooting“_02.-03.09.2021_Prof. Dr. Tobias Schmohl
(Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert







1. Your feedback is important to us!






2. The lecturer...



3. Feedback on the Online-Format

3.1) The amount of time spent on the digital format was well suited to communicate the content.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=1,9	md=2,0	s=0,7
3.2) The digital infrastructure (plenum, separate chat rooms for group work, individual support) was beneficial to my learning success.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=2,4	md=2,0	s=1,3
3.3) The topic of the workshop was well suited for working/ understanding in a digital space.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=2,0	md=2,0	s=0,8
3.4) For the future, I would like to see further expansion of digital/online teaching/learning formats.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=1,4	md=1,0	s=0,8
3.5) During the workshop, there were hardly any technical problems or disruptions.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=2,4	md=3,0	s=0,8
3.6) For my learning goals, it is not relevant whether events offered are digital or presence-based.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6	mw=3,0	md=2,5	s=2,1

4. Overall impression

4.1) The course was well organized.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=1,7	md=2,0	s=0,8
4.2) Overall, I was satisfied with the course.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=2,0	md=1,0	s=1,5
4.3) I would recommend the course.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=7	mw=2,4	md=2,0	s=1,7

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Further remarks... For additional online formats, I would like...

5.1)

- I would prefer more diverse learning formats. We just had group discussion in plenum and individual work. Group work in breakout rooms would be nice for example.
- More structure in the course and less surprises: sharing slides at the beginning of the course, mention the tasks format from the beginning, defining the goal of the workshop clearly

6. Which topics in the scope of the qualification program do you consider to be important/ interesting?

6.1)

- * how to deal with conflicts during collaboration
 - * how to arrange collaboration with different institutes
 - * more writing courses
 - * tricks for preparing large-scale conferences
- Scientific writing in general is vital, from the 6 phases presented, planning and pre-writing were highlighted, which are both interesting and important.
However, tips for translation would be also interesting and important, it was given only at the end of the workshop very shortly.